

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/071,174	02/07/2002	John C. Reed	087102 0272558	2991
7590 12/17/2004 P.11 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		EXAMINER		
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP Intellectual Property Group			ANGELL, JON E	
11682 El Camino Real Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92130			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Antique C	10/071,174	REED ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jon Eric Angell	1635			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
I HE - Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFEACH AND ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stative reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of tho will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute. cause the application to become 4	irreply be timely filed irry (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	October 2004.				
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-141 is/are pending in the application	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 24,25 and 29-141 is	s/are withdrawn from consi	deration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 and 26-28 is/are rejected.					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2002</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	accounted					
	3.1 Copies of the certified copies of the prid		received in this National Stage			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea		Ü			
* S	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
* 5		au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
Attachmen	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen) Notic	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not 4) Interview S Paper Note	_			

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

This Action is in response to the communication filed on 10/12/04. Claims 1-141 are currently pending in the application and are addressed herein.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-28, 42-45, 76 and 77 wherein the nucleic acid encodes a polypeptide) and election of the species "adenovirus" in the reply filed on 10/12/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 29-41, 46-75 and 78-141 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/12/04.

Claims 1-28, 42-45, 76 and 77 and the species "adenovirus" are examined herein.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 3/8/2004 is acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner (see attached form PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

Art Unit: 1635

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-23, 26-28, 43-45, 76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "at least about" in claims 1-4, 17 43-45, 76 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "at least about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Therefore, the exact amount of identity between the isolated or recombinant nucleic acid and SEQ ID NO: 1 is indefinite. Claims 11-15, 18-23, 26-28, 77 are rejected because they are dependent claims that, by definition, encompass all of the limitations of the independent claim.

The term "less than about" in claims 5-9 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "less than about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Therefore, the exact size limitation of the sequence is indefinite.

The term "less than about" in claim 10 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "less than about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Therefore, the exact size limitations of the sequence are indefinite.

Art Unit: 1635

The term "a length of about" in claim 16 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "of about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Therefore, the exact length of the sequence is indefinite.

Claim 10 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 10 recited the phrase, "wherein the sequence is between about 2.5kB and 1kB, 1kB and 0.5kB, 0.5kB and 0.25kB and 0.1kB and 15 base pairs." (Emphasis added). Here the claim appears to encompass 4 different size ranges that the sequence can be; however, the size ranges are not claimed in the alternative. Therefore the claim is indefinite because it is unclear how a sequence can be "between about 2.5kB and 1kB, 1kB and 0.5kB, 0.5kB and 0.25kB and 0.1kB and 15 base pairs." It is noted that amending the claim by changing the claims such that the ranges were claimed in the alternative (i.e., the indicated "and" is changed to "or") would obviate this rejection.

Claim 13 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 13 recites the phrase, "wherein the sequence comprises a plurality of sequences attached to a substrate". This phrase renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear how <u>the sequence</u> (i.e., one sequence) can comprise <u>a plurality of sequences</u> (i.e., multiple sequences). It is noted that amending the claim such that "a plurality of sequences" is

Art Unit: 1635

changed to "a plurality of nucleotides" or "a plurality of nucleic acids" would obviate this rejection.

Claims 1-16 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claims 1 and 15 recite the limitation "wherein the sequence is distinct from **EST Accession no. AA098865**." (Emphasis added). It is noted that the specification does not disclose the sequence of EST Accession no. AA098865. Furthermore, EST Accession numbers are not static throughout time and may be amended or updated after the initial submission. Therefore, the phrase "EST Accession no. AA098865" renders the claims indefinite because the sequence of EST Accession no. AA098865 is indefinite in view of the instant disclosure. Claims 2-14, 16 and 26-28 are dependent claims that are rejected for the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10, 12-23, 26-28, 42-45, 76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1635

The Written Description Guidelines for examination of patent applications indicates, "the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or other chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show applicant was in possession of the claimed genus." (See MPEP 2100-164)

The instant claims are drawn to an isolated/recombinant nucleic acid that has a specified level of identity to SEQ ID NO:1 (i.e., 70%, 80%, 90%, 95% identity) wherein the nucleic acid is distinct from EST Accession No. AA098865. As such, the claims are drawn to a genus of nucleic acid sequences which are different from the sequences explicitly disclosed in the specification. The genus of claimed nucleic acid sequences encompass an indefinite number of distinct nucleic acid sequences (possibly thousands) considering every possible nucleic acid sequence that is encompassed by the claims and includes sequences which have yet to be identified. It is noted that for claims 1-10, 12-23, 26-28, 76 and 77, the claims do not specifically indicate any particular function for the nucleic acids encompassed by the claims. As such claims 1-10, 12-23, 26-28, 76 and 77 encompass nucleic acid molecules that could have completely unrelated functions, yet still meet the sequence identity limitations of the claims. Furthermore, claims 42-45 do specifically indicate that the nucleic acids encompassed by the claims must be able to "modulate apoptosis". Regarding "modulating apoptosis" it is noted that the claims encompass molecules that can increase or decrease apoptosis. As such the claims encompass nucleic acids that can have completely opposite functions (increasing apoptosis and

Art Unit: 1635

decreasing apoptosis), yet meet the sequence identity limitations set forth in the claims. Furthermore, regarding "modulating apoptosis" the specification does not disclose any structure-function relationship for the claimed genus of sequences that would identify the elements of the sequences that are critical for their function. As such, the specification has not disclosed which sequences that meet the limitations set forth in the claims (other than SEQ ID NO:1 itself) would have the desired function (i.e., modulating apoptosis) and which sequences encompassed by the

claims would not have the desired function. Therefore, the specification has failed to adequately

It is noted that amending the claims to the nucleic acid that is SEQ ID NO:1 would obviate this rejection.

describe the genus of sequences encompassed by the claims.

Additionally, claims 1-10, 12-23, 26-28, 42-45, 76 and 77 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (in view of the written description rejection above), because the specification, while being enabling for the indicated claims wherein the claims are limited to SEQ ID NO:1, does not reasonably provide enablement for the full scope encompassed by the claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

As mentioned above, the claims encompass sequence for which there is insufficient written description provided in the specification. Without a clear disclosure of the sequences encompassed by the claims one of skill in the art would not know how to make or use the claimed invention without performing an undue amount of additional experimentation to first identify the sequences having the desired function.

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-23, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 00/00506 (KATO et al.).

KATO teaches an isolated nucleic acid sequence (see SEQ ID NO:23 of KATO) that is 91.6% identical to SEQ ID NO:1 (see the attached sequence alignment). SEQ ID NO:23 of KATO is a sequence that is 1168 nucleotides in length (e.g., see Sequence Listing page 20/45). Furthermore, KATO teaches cDNA fragments (more than 10 bp) including antisense nucleotide sequences that can be used as probes (e.g., see p. 11, lines 8-15) that hybridize to related DNA sequences (e.g., see p. 12, lines 5-8). It is noted that the specification does not disclose a limiting definition of "stringent hybridization conditions". In view of the open definition of "stringent hybridization conditions", the probes described by KATO would inherently hybridize to SEQ ID NO:1 under such conditions. Furthermore, the probes described by KATO (e.g., p.11-12) would also encompass probes that are subsequences of SEWQ ID NO:1 that are at least 15bp long. KATO also teaches that the probes can be to a "gene chip" or other support (e.g., see p. 12 lines 10-15). It is noted that the specification does not appear to explicitly define the limitation "substrate". As such, the attachment of the nucleic acid to a "gene chip" (as taught by KATO, e.g. page 12) would constitute attaching the sequence to a substrate. Furthermore, hybridizing a sequence to the nucleic acid sequence (e.g., such as a examining expression patterns—see p. 12)

Art Unit: 1635

would constitute attaching a plurality of sequences (i.e., a plurality of nucleotides) to a substrate at defined positions. KATO also teaches that the nucleic acid sequence that meets the instant limitations can be comprised in an expression vector such that the vector operably encodes and expresses the polypeptide encoded therein (e.g., see p. 7 lines 2-23). KATO teaches that the expression construct can have a promoter, such as the cytomeglovirus (CMV) promoter (a constitutive promoter) wherein the construct can express the encoded polypeptide in a cell, including a mammalian cell. KATO also teaches the construct can be comprised in a viral vector such as an EBV vector (it is noted that EBV is a herpes virus) (e.g., see p. 7). Furthermore, KATO teaches that the vector can be transformed into cell wherein the cell is a bacterial cell or a eukaryotic cell, including a mammalian cell (e.g., see p. 7, lines 2-23).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon Eric Angell whose telephone number is 571-272-0756. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on 571-272-0760. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jon Eric Angell, Ph.D. Art Unit 1635